APPENDIX H -- MONTANA'S EPA APPROVED TMDLs Parameter/ Waterbody Name Water Quality Goal/Endpoint **TMDL Approval Date** Pollutant Sediment: 30% substrate fines(<6.35mm) TSS load same as ref reach Deep Creek* Sediment Oct. 15, 1996 Flow TSS: 0.26 slope of TSS v. Q plot 50% reduction in erosive bands 2275' increase in channel length Temperature Temperature: >73 degrees F. in only 10 days annually Biotic: 3,000 female trout captured/year 3-9 cfs min. flow Clark Fork River* Algae: 100 mg/m2 (summer mean) Oct. 10, 1998 Total nitrogen (7) (kg/day) HUC 17010204 **Total phosphorus (7) chlorophyll a:** 150 mg/m2 (peak) chlorophyll a Clark Fork below Deer Lodge Total N: 52 4 segments: Phosphorus: 30 ug/l total P upstream of Reserve MT76G001-1, Total P: 0.84 MT76G001-2, Nitrogen: 300 ug/l total N Clark Fork above Missoula MT76G001-3, Total N: 689 Nutrient ratio: 15:1 N:P MT76G001-4, Total P: 59 Clark Fork Below Stone Container HUC 17010201 Total N: 801 3 segments: Total P: 77 MT76M001-1, MT76M001-2, MT76M001-3 Elk Creek* Sediment Restoration of native trout 50% reduction in annual sediment load at the mouth of Elk Creek Dec. 7, 1998 (Lower Clark Fork R.) Teton River* Salinity Specific Conductance of 1000 micromhos/cm (at 25 deg C) $TMDL = Qdown Cdown = Cup + Q_{PB}C_{PB}$ Mar. 23, 1999 (near Chouteau) total dissolved solids (TDS) of 700 mg/1 Qdown = flow in Teton River below Priest Butte outlet Cdown = TMDL endpoint (i.e. 1000 umhos/cm or 400 mg/l TDS) (TMDL endpoints measured at Teton River at State Highway 221 Bridge) Qup = upstream flow in Teton River Cup =upstream concentration of either specific conductivity or TDS Q_{PB=} flow in Priest Butte outlet C_{PB}= concentration of either specific conductivity of TDS in Priest Butte outlet

APPENDIX H MONTANA'S EPA APPROVED TMDLs							
Waterbody Name	Parameter/ Pollutant	Water Quality Goal/Endpoint	TMDL	Approval Date			
Teton River* (near Chouteau)	Sediment	Narrative Standard: "No increases are allowed above naturally occurring concentrations of sediment, settleable solids, oils or floating solids, which will of are likely to create a nuisance or render the waters harmful, detrimental, or injurious to public health, recreation, safety, welfare, livestock, wild animals, birds, fish, or other wildlife"(ARM 17.30.629(f)) # Beneficial Use Standard: "suitable for bathing, swimming and recreation, growth and propogation of non-salmonid fishes and associated aquatic life, waterfowl and furbearers." (ARM 17.30.6529(I)) [The success of meeting these standards will be guaged by monitoring physical and biological parameters such as: flow, total suspended solids, temperature, conductivity, pH. amount of bank erosion, stream cross sections, pebble counts, photoplots, macroinvertebrates and fish. A goal of approximately 155 mg/1 sediment concentration (suspended and bedload combined) during a stable flow of 150 cfs has been suggested as a reasonable target for ambient sediment levels.]	25% reduction in long term sediment yield TMDL partially implemented by: restoration of 54% of eroding banks increase in stream length by 4 percent (i.e., increase in channel sinuosity) maximum flow target of 100 cfs at Careless Canal diversion and 80 cfs at mouth of Careless Creek	Sept. 20, 2001			
Lone Tree Creek	Nitrogen	- 1 mg/l total Kjeldahl nitrogen - periphyton pollution index of 2.00 or greater	* 80 percent reduction in long term nitrogen load * TMDL partially implemented by: * restoration of riparian areas along 37% of the stream miles to a proper functior condition (PFC) * re-activation of 0.25 mile of abandoned channel	Sept. 20, 2001			
Flathead Lake*	Nitrogen Phosphorus	- 80 g Carbon/m2/yr - no declining trend in hypolimnionic dissolved oxygen - no measurable blooms of Anabaena or other pollution algae - 1.0 ug/l chlorophyll a maintaining or decreasing near-shore algal growth on rocks - 5.0 ug/l total phosphorus - <0.5 ug/l soluble reactive phosphorus - 95 ug/l total nitrogen - 30 ug/l nitrate+nitrate - <1.0 ug/l ammonia	25% reduction in long term nitrogen and phosphorus loads	Mar. 30, 2002			

APPENDIX H MONTANA'S EPA APPROVED TMDLs							
Waterbody Name	Parameter/ Pollutant	Water Quality Goal/Endpoint	TMDL	Approval Date			
Sage Creek*	Salinity	Narrative Standard: "State surface waters must be free from substances attributable to municipal, industrial, agricultural practices or other discharges the will create concentrations or combinations of materials which are toxic or harmful to human, animal, plant or aquatic life")ARM 17.30.637 (1) (d).) Beneficial Use Standard: "suitable for culinary and food process purposes, after conventional treatment, and for bathing, swimming and recreation, propagation of non-salmonid fishes and associated aquatic life, waterfowl and furbearers, and agricultural and industrial water supply." (ARM 17.30.625). A goal of approximately 1250 mg/l total dissolved solids (TDS) or 1600 mhos/cm specific conductance (SC). (These metrics reflect about the same amount of salinity in Sage Creek.)	The Sage Creek TMDL is expressed in pounds per day of TDS using the following formula based on flow: TMDL = 1250 mg/l x flow x 5.39 where, flow = stream flow in cfs 5.39 = conversion factor TMDL partially implemented by reducing groundwater levels in saline seep recharge areas.	Apr. 22, 2002			
Big Sandy Creek*	Salinity	Narrative Standard: "State surface waters must be free from substances attributable to municipal, industrial, agricultural practices or other discharges that will create concentrations or combinations of materials which are toxic or harmful to human, animal, plant or aquatic life" (ARM 17.30.637 (I) (d)) Beneficial Use Standard: "suitable for culinary and food process purposes, after conventional treatment, and for bathing, swimming and recreation, growth and propagation of non-salmonid fishes and association aquatic life, waterfowl and furbearers, and agricultural and industrial water supply." (ARM 17.30.625). A goal of approximately 1250 mg/l total dissolved solids (TDS) or 1600 mhos/cm specific conductance (SC). (These metrics reflect about the same amount of salinity in Sage Creek.)	TMDL = 1000 mg/l x flow x 5.39 where, flow = stream flow in cfs	Apr. 22, 2002			

APPENDIX H MONTANA'S EPA APPROVED TMDLs								
Waterbody Name	Parameter/ Pollutant	Water Quality Goal/Endpoint	TMDL	Approval Date				
Cooke City TMDL Planning Area: Daisy Creek (metals, pH, sediment), Stillwater River (metals, sediment) Fisher Creek (metals, pH, sediment), Clarks Fork of the Yellowstone River (metals, pH), Miller Creek (metals), Soda Butte Creek (metals)	Sediment (3)	New World Mining District restoration efforts currently underway for mine disturbances from sources within the Daisy, Fisher, and Miller Creek drainages. *Additional Nation Forest Service erosion control practices and mine restoration efforts where needed (all waterbodies) *Further characterization and possible restoration of mine disturbances on private lands (for some water bodies; key strategy component for Soda Butte Creek drainage). *Significant water quality and related monitoring including additional source characterization (all water bodies). *Adaptive management approach to identify any necessary changes to targets, TMDLs or load allocations (all waterbodies). *Numeric values for aquatic life support. *Numeric values for drinking water/domestic use support. Elimination of objectionable deposits and turbidity from metal precipitates. Non-toxic levels in stream sediments. Biota at greater than or equal to 75% of reference conditions. Stream habitat conditions within 25% of reference stream.	Based on yearly loads and percent reductions in loading (metals load reductions of 97 to 38 %, and 27% fine sediment load reduction to not more than 25 % above reference).	Jan. 6, 2003				
Big Creek (N.Fk. Flathead R)	(Watershed Restoration Plan also restores 'other habitat alterations, bank erosion and fish habitat degradation)	Full support of a cold water fishery is the primary goal of this watershed restoration plan, with a target of attainment of reference conditions in Big Creek -This translates to the first target of less than 30 percent fines less than 6.4 mmThe second objective would be to reduce the amount of streambank erosion occurring in the most sensitive impaired reaches of Big Creek, to not significantly greater than 125% of the erosion rate of the monitored reference reaches, based on a statistically valid comparisonThe third objective is to reduce the sediment input from upland and stream channel sources, through the successful revegetation and/or armoring of at least 75% of the identified sediment sources.	The load allocation is a performance based approach addressing virtually all of the identified impairment sources -The soil erosion from cutslopes, ditches and road surface on 75 miles of reclaimed roads, is a WEPP-modeled reduction of approximately 26 tons annually. - Applying revegetation, drainage, and stabilization treatments to streambank slumps in Big Creek, reducing streambank erosion by 75 to 95 %. - Improve road surface/stream crossings to current Montana BMP's by upsizing approximately 77 culverts (reducing road/stream crossing sedimentation by 60 to 90 %) and adding approximately 35 stream crossing crossdrains (resulting in a WEPP modeled sediment reduction of approximately 9 tons annually).	May 9, 2003				
Upper Lolo Creek TMDL Planning Area - West Fork Lolo Cr., East Fork Lolo Cr., Granite Cr., Lee Cr. & Lost Park Cr.	also restores 'Thermal modifications' for Granite Creek)	Full support of aquatic life/cold water fishery is the primary goal of this watershed restoration plan, through reduction in silviculture/roads and highway sedimentation sources. -This translates to the first set of target of less than 21 to 31 percent fines less than 6 mm depending on Rosgen stream type. -The second set of targets (pool frequency, V*, entrenchment ratio, width/depth ratio and sinuosity) will be set through the plan's monitoring program.	The load allocations are based on stream specific reductions in sediment loads from roads and the highway. These load reductions range from 33 to 56% reductions in human-caused loads. Implementation strategies include: recalim forest roads to meet Montana BMPs; recalim surplus forest roads; improve and upgrade existing culverts; improve Highway 12 use and maitenance of sediment traps, plowing techniques and guardrail cleaning, and reduce fish passage barriers.	June 24, 2003				

APPENDIX H -- MONTANA'S EPA APPROVED TMDLs Parameter/ Waterbody Name Water Quality Goal/Endpoint **TMDL Approval Date Pollutant** Oct. 10, 2003 Blackfoot Headwaters -Metals: (30) Blackfoot waters achieve numeric metals concentrations in the water column for Based on numeric metals concentration targets multiplied by stream flow. Blackfoot R.-abv. Landers (Waterbody / pollutant aquatic life/fishery and for domestic water use support, Fk. (cadmium, copper, iron, combinations from *Metals in stream sediments may not impede beneficial uses. UBMC restoration efforts for mine distrubances in Mike Horse Cr., Beartrap Cr. lead, manganese, zinc); mining disturbances) *Biota (periphyton, macroinvertebrates) equal to or better than reference and Blcackfoot R.. Blackfoot R. -blw. Landers Fk. (aluminum, cadmium, *Elimination of objectionable deposits from metal precipatates. Adaptive mangement approach using future monitoring, application of most iron, zinc); Beartrap Cr. protective numeric standard, sediment chemistry targets and use of biota targets (cadmium, copper, iron, lead, equal or better than reference condition. manganese, zinc); Mike Horse Cr. (aluminum, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, zinc), Sandbar Cr. (aluminum, copper, iron, manganese) & Poorman Cr. (cadmium, copper, lead) Teton River TMDL TDS/SC: (2) Teton waters achieve water quality standards for nutrients, thermal modification TDS/SC reductions of 14% to 23% in maximum SC, and 0% to 34% reductions Nov. 26, 2003 Planning Area -11 Selenium: (1) sediment and TDS/SC through application of BMPs for effects of the 1964 floor in average SC concentrations. Sediment: (4) Sediment, TDS/SC, and/or for agricultural land uses and associated practices. Daily selenium discharge target for largest selinium seep area. Nutrients, Thermal Thermal 80% of the stream's linear distance have the appropriate channel pattern, form, modification: (2) **Modification TMDLs:** function, and riparian conditions for sediment reduction. **Priest Butte Lake** Nutrients: (2) Thermal modification targets for stream channel morphology, instream flow (TDS/SC, selenium), regimes, and shade-providing riparian vegetative community. Teton River (TDS/SC. Measued nutrient reductions of 0 to 57% and Chl a reductions of 4% to 168%. sediment, thermal modification), Willow Creek (sediment), Deep Creek (sediment, nutrients), Teton Spring Cr, (sediment, thermal modification, nutrients)